Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/040,222	LEVIDOW ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chun Cao	2115	
All Participants:	Status of Application	on: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>Chun Cao</u> .	(3)		
(2) William J. Kramer (Reg. No. 46,229).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 26 October 2005	Time: <u>5:00 pm</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:  112 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection			
Claims discussed: 1 and 23	, ·		
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G 35 USC 112 2nd problem of claims 1 and 23 were disscuss 112 2nd problem as set forth in examiner amendment in or	sed. Applicant agreed to amend	the claims to overcome the discussed	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun</li> </ul>	n. The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview	е
•			
·			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	licant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)	